

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office:
Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington DC 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/982,532	10/19/2001	Soren Michael Madsen	54320.000010	8165

7590

04/09/2003

Stanislaus Aksman Hunton & Williams Suite 1200 1900 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20006 EXAMINER

LAMBERTSON, DAVID A

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1636

DATE MAILED: 04/09/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ŧ		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/982,532	MADSEN ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		David A Lambertson	1636			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any status - Status						
1) 🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Octo	her 10 2001				
2a) [s action is non-final.				
3)	,		coccoution so to the movies is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) 🗌 T	he proposed drawing correction filed on					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No			
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice 2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			
. Patent and Trac	emark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/982,532

Art Unit: 1636

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-11, drawn to an isolated nucleic acid encoding OrfY, a vector for expression of the OrfY protein and a host cell comprising said vector, classified in class 435, subclass 320.1.
- II. Claims 12-26, drawn to a method of regulating promoter activity by expressing the OrfY polypeptide, thereby producing a polypeptide of interest, classified in class 435, subclass 69.1.
- III. Claim 27, drawn to a method of producing a food product using a cell expressing the OrfY polypeptide, classified in class 426, subclass 656.
- IV. Claim 28, drawn to a method for producing a pharmaceutical using a cell expressing the OrfY polypeptide, classified in class 514, subclass 2.
- V. Claim 29, drawn to a method of delivering a gene product using a cell expressing the OrfY protein, classified in class 435, subclass 440.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II-V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP

Application/Control Number: 09/982,532

Art Unit: 1636

§ 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can be used for a number of different processes, namely those patentably distinct methods of inventions II-V.

Inventions II-V are unrelated to each other. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different effects and are not disclosed as capable of being used together. Invention I results in the production of a polypeptide, which is a different outcome than the production of a food product (III), the manufacture of a pharmaceutical product (IV) and the delivery of a gene to a cell (IV). There is no indication that the polypeptide produced in invention I necessarily has any pharmaceutical activity, that is specifically destined to become a food product, or that it is intended to have some pharmacological benefit upon the cell in which it is expressed. Similarly, the pharmaceutical product of invention IV implies a medical benefit for the compound, which is not necessarily included in the food product of invention III. Finally, invention V implies that the pharmaceutical benefit comes from the gene product itself, whereas the claim of invention IV indicates that the cell expressing the gene product is used to manufacture the pharmaceutical product (e.g., it is not the gene itself). Because these inventions have different outcomes, they have different effects and are therefore determined to be patentably distinct inventions.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination

Art Unit: 1636

purposes as indicated is proper. In instances where these inventions have the same classification, they are considered distinct for the reasons given above and because the non-patent literature search required for each invention is not co-extensive and encompasses divergent subject matter. For these reasons, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David A Lambertson whose telephone number is (703) 308-8365. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Remy Yucel, Ph.D. can be reached on (703) 305-1998. The fax phone numbers for

Art Unit: 1636

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3014 for regular communications and (703) 305-3014 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

David A. Lambertson March 22, 2003

PATENT EXAMINER DE SERALD G. Lefters Jr. A. 4. 1636